

UCD IRIS Centre

Centre for Interdisciplinary Research, Education and Innovation in Health Systems



IRIS Insights

The influence of power, authority, and influence when introducing change in healthcare teams

Summary:

This research confirms that leaders at multiple levels of an organisation (i.e. senior management and frontline leadership) can enhance the implementation of change in routine practice. Therefore, *gaining support across leadership levels* is necessary to disseminate broadly and reinforce the importance of a change effort. However, *the hierarchical structure* of multidisciplinary teams can *negatively impact how staff respond to change*. Therefore, *collaborating* with a diverse range of professions is necessary to enhance the collective understanding of the team and to ensure the acceptability, relevance, and fit of the implementation plan.

The Problem:

Despite the evolution of healthcare delivery from care by one physician to care by multidisciplinary teams (MDTs), *interprofessional collaboration remains challenging* in current practice.

MDTs are characterised by numerous healthcare professionals (HCPs), from several disciplines, interacting in highly unpredictable environments to optimise patient care. Each professional group possesses a *unique identity* that responds to their *discipline specific-training* and *clinical experience*. This identity means that despite sharing the same goal of improving patient outcomes, HCPs have *differing priorities, roles, and expectations* about how care should be delivered (1).

The *diverse values* held by each profession implies that the consequences of *introducing change may not be uniformly positive* for each discipline.

Study Aim:

Evaluate the role of *power, authority, and influence* when implementing change within two multidisciplinary healthcare teams.

Summary of Research Findings:

The findings emphasise that:

- introducing change in healthcare teams is an inherently political process influenced by established power structures (2).
- gaining support across multiple levels of leadership is critical to enhance the credibility of the change effort and persuade follower engagement.
- the hierarchical power structures of MDTs can negatively shape participant experiences of introducing change in practice as acceptability and adoption of the change effort appeared contingent on staff's role and position within the team hierarchy.
- silo working (i.e. when disciplines work and in isolation rather than collaboratively) also impeded staff understanding of the change effort as the new practice was promoted within rather than across professional groups.



Implications:

To ensure the acceptability, relevance, and fit of a change effort within diverse settings/teams, we recommend that change agents collaborate with a *diverse range of professions* at a *local-level*.

To weaken the traditional status boundaries between professions, we recommend including a more inclusive undergraduate curriculum. Incorporating interprofessional modules will support with reducing the power disparities between professions, improving interprofessional relationships, and ultimately promoting the provision of safer, more effective patient care.

Contact: Dr. Lisa Rogers **E-mail:** lisa.rogers@ucd.ie

UCD IRIS Centre

School of Nursing, Midwifery and Health Systems
University College Dublin, UCD Health Sciences Centre,
4 Stillorgan Rd, Belfield, Dublin 4

Tel: (01) 716 6468

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the healthcare teams that took part in this research.

This research is funded by the HRB Research Leader Awards (RL-2015-1588) and supported by the HSE.

References:

- Braithwaite J, Clay-Williams R, Vecellio E,
 Marks D, Hooper T, Westbrook M, et al. (2016)
 The basis of clinical tribalism, hierarchy and
 stereotyping: a laboratory-controlled
 teamwork experiment. BMJ Open. 6.
- Rogers L, De Brún A, Birken SA, Davies C, McAuliffe E. (2020) The micropolitics of implementation; a qualitative study exploring the impact of power, authority, and influence when implementing change in healthcare teams. BMC Health Services Research. 20(1059).